

REMARKS

Claims 1-6 are pending in this application for which applicant seeks reconsideration.

Amendment

Claims 1-4 have been amended to improve their form, readability, and clarity. Claim 1 further has been amended to define that the second directional speaker unit includes an inversion circuit. New claims 5 and 6 have been added to define the circuitry of the speaker units. No new matter has been introduced.

Art Rejection

Claims 1 and 2 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Eberbach (USP 7,092,541). Claims 3 and 4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Eberbach in view of Aarts (USP 6,791,519). Applicant submits that the pending claims define over these references because none discloses or teach providing an inversion circuit in one of their speaker units, let alone receiving the same signal among the different speaker units. On this basis alone, applicant submits that the pending claims distinguish over the applied references.

Conclusion

Applicant submits that claims 1-6 are in condition for allowance. Should the examiner have any issues concerning this reply or any other outstanding issues remaining in this application, applicant urges the examiner to contact the undersigned to expedite prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,

ROSSI, KIMMS & McDOWELL LLP

14 MAY 2008

DATE

/Lyle Kimms 051408/

LYLE KIMMS

REG. No. 34,079 (RULE 34, WHERE APPLICABLE)

P.O. Box 826
ASHBURN, VA 20146-0826
703-726-6020 (PHONE)
703-726-6024 (FAX)